Hadronic production of Ξ_{cc} at a fixed-target experiment at the LHC

Gu Chen[1], Xing-Gang Wu[1],* Jia-Wei Zhang[2], Hua-Yong Han[1], and Hai-Bing Fu[1]
[1] *Department of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China.*
[2] *Department of physics, Chongqing University of Science and Technology, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China.*
(Dated: March 26, 2014)

In the paper, we present a detailed discussion on the Ξ_{cc} production at a fixed target experiment at the LHC (After@LHC). The doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc} is produced via the channel, Proton + Proton $\to \Xi_{cc} + X$. In estimating its hadroproduction, we discuss three dominant subprocesses, e.g. $g+g \to \Xi_{cc}+\bar{c}+\bar{c}$, $g+c \to \Xi_{cc}+\bar{c}$ and $c+c \to \Xi_{cc}+g$. During the production, it shall first generate a binding diquark and then form the Ξ_{cc} baryon by grabbing soft light-quarks or gluons. We observe that both the two diquark configurations $(cc)[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and $(cc)[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$ can have sizable contributions to the Ξ_{cc} production. Large number of Ξ_{cc} events can be generated at the After@LHC, whose total production cross section is larger than that of the SELEX experiment by about thirty-five times. It may also possible to study the properties of Ξ_{bc} at the After@LHC. More specifically, we shall have about 8.3×10^6 Ξ_{cc} events/year and 1.8×10^4 Ξ_{bc} events/year when its integrated luminosity approaches to 2 fb^{-1}/year. Thus, in addition to SELEX and LHC, the After@LHC shall provide another useful platform for studying the baryon properties.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Rj, 12.38.Bx, 14.20.Lq

arXiv:1401.6269v2 [hep-ph] 25 Mar 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Since its discovery by the SELEX collaboration [1, 2], the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^+ has attracted more and more attentions. A recent experimental research for Ξ_{cc} has been done by the LHCb collaboration at the LHC [3]. The SELEX measurements indicate that there are large discrepancies between the theoretical prediction and the experimental observation. Its measured decay widths and production rates are much larger than the theoretical predictions. Lots of theoretical works have been tried to resolve such discrepancy [4–13]. Especially, a generator GENXICC has been programmed to simulate the production of the doubly heavy baryons at the hadronic colliders as Tevatron and LHC [14–16]. By using GENXICC, it has been stated that Ξ_{cc} should be visible if an integrated luminosity 5 fb^{-1} has been collected at the LHC [17]. However, due to the present limited experimental measurements, the puzzle is still there.

On the one hand, we need to improve our present theoretical estimations by studying all possible quark configurations for constructing the baryon and by taking more production or decay channels into consideration. On the other hand, in addition to SELEX, it is helpful to find some other platforms which can generate large number of baryons so as to study their properties with higher precision. For example, the possibilities for studying the doubly heavy baryons at a high luminosity e^+e^- collider or a photon-photon collider have been suggested in Refs.[18–22]. A high luminosity e^+e^- collider or a photon-photon collider has some advantages for measuring the baryon events, such as the cleanness of the physical background and etc.. Thus, if sizable baryon events can be produced at such colliders, they shall provide a good platform for testing the QCD factorization theory.

For the baryon production at the hadronic platforms, the baryons can be produced through scattering, annihilating, or fusing of two initial partons inside the incident hadrons. In comparison to the e^+e^- collider, the hadronic production are complicated due to the entanglement of the perturbative kernel with the nonperturbative parton distribution functions (PDFs). But, inversely, if we have known more accurate baryon properties in comparison with the experimental data, we can learn more details on the properties of hadron structures. So, to study the baryon productions at the hadronic colliders are also helpful and interesting.

Recently, similar to the SELEX experiment done at the Tevatron, another fixed target experiment at the LHC (After@LHC) has been suggested [23–26]. With the incident proton beam energy raises up to 7 TeV at the LHC, the After@LHC shall run with the center-of-mass energy around 115 GeV. With a much higher luminosity and higher collision energy, the After@LHC shall become a much better fixed-target experiment for studying the properties of the doubly heavy baryons. In the present paper, we shall present a detailed investigation on the Ξ_{cc} production at the After@LHC by taking both the gluon-gluon fusion mechanism via the subprocess $g+g \to \Xi_{cc}+\bar{c}+\bar{c}$ and the the extrinsic charm mechanism via the subprocesses $g+c \to \Xi_{cc}+\bar{c}$ and $c+c \to \Xi_{cc}+g$ into consideration. As a by product, we shall also estimate the production properties for the Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} baryons.

The remaining parts of the paper are organized as follows. In Sec.II, we explain our calculation technology for dealing with the Ξ_{cc} production. In Sec.III, we present our numerical results for the doubly heavy baryons Ξ_{cc}, Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb}. Sec.IV is reserved for a summary.

* email:wuxg@cqu.edu.cn



FIG. 1. The schematic Feynman diagrams for the baryon production via the gluon-gluon fusion mechanism, $g(p_1) + g(p_2) \to \Xi_{QQ'}(p_3) + \bar{Q}(p_4) + \bar{Q}'(p_5)$ with the diquark state $(QQ')[n]$, where Q or Q' stands for b or c quark, respectively. The dashed boxes stand for the hard interaction kernel, each contains 36 Feynman diagrams.

II. HADRONIC PRODUCTION OF Ξ_{cc}

Theoretically, the production of the doubly heavy baryons, such as Ξ_{cc}, Ξ_{bc}, and Ξ_{bb}, can be treated within the framework of nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [27].

It is usually assumed that the doubly heavy baryon can be formed via a step-by-step way. The first step is to produce two free heavy-quark pairs $Q\bar{Q}$ and $Q'\bar{Q}'$, where Q or Q' stands for b or c quark, respectively. The gluon between those two heavy-quark pairs should be hard enough to generate either a $Q\bar{Q}$-pair or a $Q'\bar{Q}'$-pair, so this step is calculable by applying pQCD. The second step is to make those two heavy quarks Q and Q' into a bounding diquark (QQ') with the $[^3S_1]$ (or $[^1S_0]$) spin state and the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ (or $\mathbf{6}$) color state, respectively. Then, the diquark will be hadronized into a $\Xi_{QQ'}$ baryon, whose probability is described by the non-perturbative NRQCD matrix element h_1 or h_3. Here, h_1 represents the probability for a (QQ')-diquark pair in $(QQ')_{\mathbf{6}}[^1S_0]$ to transform into the baryon and $h_3 = |\Psi_{QQ'}(0)|^2$ represents the probability for a (QQ')-diquark pair in $(QQ')_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]$ to transform into the baryon. Qualitatively, the values of h_1 and h_3 are at the same order [20], so we shall take $h_1 = h_3$ to do our following discussion. For Ξ_{bc}, there are other two diquark states, $(bc)_{\mathbf{6}}[^3S_1]$ and $(bc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^1S_0]$, we shall also take their matrix elements as $|\Psi_{bc}(0)|^2$. Strictly, during the fragmentation of a diquark into a baryon, the diquark may dissociate, which will decrease the baryon production cross section to a certain degree. It has been observed that the fragmentation function $D(z)$ of a heavy diquark into a baryon peaks around $z \approx 1$ [7]. By taking a simple form for the fragmentation function $D(z)$, Refs.[8, 10] indicate that such disassociation effect is small. Thus, at present, we have implicitly assumed that the fragmentation of a diquark into the baryon shall occur with unit probability, and consequently, to study the hadronic production of Ξ_{cc} is equivalent to study the hadronic production of (cc)-diquark. A detailed discussion on such disassociation effect is in preparation. Our present estimations can be treated as a (somewhat good) upper limit for the total baryon cross sections.

There are two typical mechanisms for the production of baryons, i.e. the gluon-gluon fusion mechanism via the subprocess $g + g \to \Xi_{cc} + \bar{c} + \bar{c}$ and the the extrinsic charm mechanism via the subprocesses $g + c \to \Xi_{cc} + \bar{c}$ and $c + c \to \Xi_{cc} + g$. The subprocesses for the production of doubly heavy baryon through different mechanisms are shown in Figs. 1, 2, and 3, respectively. By simultaneously taking the gluon-gluon fusion and extrinsic charm mechanisms into consideration, one will meet the double counting problem [28, 29], which can be treated within the general-mass with variable-flavor-number scheme [30–32].

The hadronic production conditions for the Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} baryons are similar to the Ξ_{cc} case, so we shall also take a look at their production properties. For Ξ_{bc} and Ξ_{bb} production, we merely focus on the gluon-gluon fusion mechanism, since the extrinsic mechanisms of them shall provide comparatively small contributions. It is noted that the intermediate diquarks in Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bb} have two spin and color configurations, $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$; while for the intermediate diquark (bc) in Ξ_{bc}, there are four spin and color configurations: $\Xi_{bc}[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$, $\Xi_{bc}[^3S_1]_{\mathbf{6}}$, $\Xi_{bc}[^1S_0]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$, and $\Xi_{bc}[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$. We shall take all those configurations into consideration, since all of them may provide sizable contributions.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We adopt GENXICC program [14–16] with slight changes to do our calculation. As for the input parameters, we take [6]: $|\Psi_{cc}(0)|^2 = 0.039$ GeV^3, $|\Psi_{bc}(0)|^2 = 0.065$ GeV^3, and $|\Psi_{bb}(0)|^2 = 0.152$ GeV^3. As for the baryon masses, we take $M_{\Xi_{cc}} = 3.50$ GeV with $m_c = M_{\Xi_{cc}}/2$, $M_{\Xi_{bc}} = 6.9$ GeV with $m_c = 1.8$ GeV and $m_b = 5.1$ GeV, $M_{\Xi_{bb}} = 10.2$ GeV with $m_b = M_{\Xi_{bb}}/2$, respectively. We choose the CTEQ with the version CT10 [33] for the PDF of the gluon or the quarks.

	σ_{g+g} (pb)	σ_{g+c} (pb)	σ_{c+c} (pb)
$(cc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]$	530	3.19×10^3	0.999
$(cc)_{\mathbf{6}}[^1S_0]$	99.7	348	0.040

TABLE I. Total cross sections for the Ξ_{cc} production at the After@LHC with $\sqrt{S} \simeq 115$ GeV, where the intermediate (cc)-diquark is in $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ or $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$, respectively. $m_c = 1.75$ GeV and $p_t > 0.2$ GeV.

	$(bc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]$	$(bc)_{\mathbf{6}}[^1S_0]$	$(bc)_{\mathbf{6}}[^3S_1]$	$(bc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^1S_0]$
σ_{g+g} (pb)	2.63	0.698	4.87	0.747

TABLE II. Total cross sections for the Ξ_{bc} production at the After@LHC with $\sqrt{S} \simeq 115$ GeV, where the intermediate (bc)-diquark is in $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$, $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$, $[^3S_1]_{\mathbf{6}}$, or $[^1S_0]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$, respectively. $m_c = 1.80$ GeV, $m_b = 5.10$ GeV, and $p_t > 0.2$ GeV.

We put the numerical results for the baryon production in Tables I, II, and III, in which we have taken a small p_t



FIG. 2. Typical Feynman diagrams for the process $c(p_1) + g(p_2) \to \Xi_{cc}(p_3) + \bar{c}(p_4)$ via the diquark state $(cc)[n]$, where the intermediate (cc)-diquark is in $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ or $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$, respectively.



FIG. 3. Typical Feynman diagrams for the process $c(p_1) + c(p_2) \to \Xi_{cc}(p_3) + \bar{c}(p_4)$ via the diquark state $(cc)[n]$, where the intermediate (cc)-diquark is in $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ or $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$, respectively.

	$(bb)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]$	$(bb)_{\mathbf{6}}[^1S_0]$
σ_{g+g} (pb)	0.026	0.005

TABLE III. Total cross sections for the Ξ_{bb} production at the After@LHC with $\sqrt{S} \simeq 115$ GeV, where the intermediate (bb)-diquark is in $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ or $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$, respectively. $m_b = 5.10$ GeV and $p_t > 0.2$ GeV.

	$p_t > 2$ GeV	$p_t > 4$ GeV	$p_t > 6$ GeV
σ_{g+g} (pb)	266	41.9	5.95
σ_{g+c} (pb)	824	52.6	4.23
σ_{c+c} (pb)	1.04	1.04	0.87

TABLE IV. Total cross sections for the Ξ_{cc} production at the After@LHC with different p_t cuts, where contributions from intermediate diquark states have been summed up.

cut as the same as the SELEX experiment, i.e. $p_t > 0.2$ GeV, to do our calculation. The collision energy $\sqrt{S} \simeq 115$ GeV. By summing up all the mentioned states and production channels together, we obtain

$$\sigma_{\rm tot}(\Xi_{cc}) = 4.17 \times 10^3 \text{ pb}, \quad (1)$$
$$\sigma_{\rm tot}(\Xi_{bc}) = 8.95 \text{ pb}, \quad (2)$$
$$\sigma_{\rm tot}(\Xi_{bb}) = 31 \text{ fb}. \quad (3)$$

Supposing the integrated luminosity at the After@LHC can be reached up to 0.05 fb^{-1}/year or 2 fb^{-1}/year [25], we shall have 2.1×10^5 or 8.3×10^6 Ξ_{cc} events per year; 4.5×10^2 or 1.8×10^4 Ξ_{bc} events per year; 1.6 or 62 Ξ_{bb} events per year. This shows that at the After@LHC, sizable Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bc} events can be generated, but it is hard to observe Ξ_{bb} events.

We present the baryon transverse momentum (p_t)-distributions at the After@LHC in Fig.(4) and the baryon rapidity (y)-distributions at the After@LHC in Fig.(5). There are several production channels for the Ξ_{cc} production, i.e., $g+g$, $g+c$, and $c+c$, all of which shall have sizable contributions. When summing up the contributions from different (cc)-diquark configurations, one obtains the relative importance among different production channels,

$$\sigma_{g+g} : \sigma_{g+c} : \sigma_{c+c} \simeq 6.1 \times 10^2 : 3.4 \times 10^3 : 1.$$

The contribution from $(cc)[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ dominates over that of $(cc)[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$ by about five times for the $g+g$ channel, nine times for the $g+c$ channel, and twenty-five times for the $c+c$ channel, respectively. Similar to the case of SELEX [11], the small p_t behavior of the extrinsic charm mechanism becomes very important at the After@LHC. This also indicates the importance of such kind of fixed target experiments, in which it can reach quite small p_t region and know more detail on hadron structures.

Fig.(4) indicates that the baryon cross sections depend heavily on the baryon p_t. Total cross sections shall first increase with the increment of p_t in small p_t region and then drop down logarithmically in large p_t region. Experimentally, it is also possible to apply more p_t cuts to the production channels. To show how the baryon production depends on p_t, we put the Ξ_{cc} cross sections for various production channels and various p_t cuts in Table IV. Table IV shows: for $g+g$ channel, $\sigma_{gg}|_{p_t>0.2\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gg}|_{p_t>2\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gg}|_{p_t>4\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gg}|_{p_t>6\text{GeV}} = 106 : 45 : 7 : 1$; for $g+c$ channel, $\sigma_{gc}|_{p_t>0.2\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gc}|_{p_t>2\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gc}|_{p_t>4\text{GeV}} : \sigma_{gc}|_{p_t>6\text{GeV}} = 836 : 195 : 12 : 1$.

Moreover, by taking the Ξ_{cc} production via the gluon-gluon fusion as an explicit example, we present the rapidity distributions under various p_t cuts in Fig.(6), where the contributions via the (cc)-diquark states $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and



FIG. 4. The Ξ_{cc}, Ξ_{bc}, and Ξ_{bb} p_t-distributions for various intermediate diquark states at the After@LHC, in which no rapidity cut has been applied.



FIG. 5. The Ξ_{cc}, Ξ_{bc}, and Ξ_{bb} rapidity distributions for various intermediate diquark states at the After@LHC, where the $p_t > 0.2$ GeV is taken.

$[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$ have been summed up.

At the After@LHC, similar to the case of SELEX [11], the small p_t behavior for the extrinsic charm mechanism becomes very important. Thus, the extrinsic charm mechanism should be taken into consideration for a sound estimation. As a comparison, we draw the Ξ_{cc} p_t distributions for both the SELEX and the After@LHC experiments in Fig.(7), where all production channels have been summed up. In small p_t region, the Ξ_{cc} p_t distribution at the After@LHC is larger than that of SELEX by about an order of magnitude. In large p_t region, the Ξ_{cc} p_t distributions at both the After@LHC and the SELEX drop down logarithmically. Moreover, the Ξ_{cc} p_t distribution drops down more slowly at the After@LHC. This is due to a larger collision energy at the After@LHC: I) A larger collision energy means more small x events, or equivalently more small p_t events, can be generated at the After@LHC; II) It is noted that the PDFs for the incident partons drop down logarithmically with the increment of the parton fraction x, as can be explicitly shown by CT10 [33]. Thus, more small x events leads to more larger cross sections.

It is noted that the extrinsic charm mechanism via the channels $g + c \to \Xi_{cc} + \bar{c}$ and $c + c \to \Xi_{cc} + g$ provide dominant contribution to small p_t events. To estimate the extrinsic charm mechanism for Ξ_{cc} production, we define a ratio,

$$R = \frac{\sigma_{\rm tot}}{\sigma_{gg\to\Xi_{cc}(cc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]}},$$

where $\sigma_{\rm tot}$ stands for the total cross section for all the concerned mechanisms in the Ξ_{cc} production, and



FIG. 6. The rapidity distributions of Ξ_{cc} production in the gluon-gluon fusion mechanism with various p_t cuts at the After@LHC, where the contributions via the (cc)-diquark states $[^3S_1]_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and $[^1S_0]_{\mathbf{6}}$ have been summed up.



FIG. 7. A comparison of Ξ_{cc} p_t distributions at the After@LHC and the SELEX experiments, where all production channels have been summed up, $p_t > 0.2$ GeV and no rapidity cut has been applied.

$\sigma_{gg\to\Xi_{cc}(cc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1]}$ is the total cross section for the channel $gg \to \Xi_{cc}(cc)_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}[^3S_1] + \bar{c} + \bar{c}$ only. At the SELEX, the ratio $R \simeq 29$ [11], which changes to $\simeq 8$ at the After@LHC. Thus, the After@LHC is also possible to test the charmonium components in hadron.

There are some minor points for the present analysis:

- In the above estimations, we have fixed the renormalization scale μ_R to be the baryon's mass $M_{\Xi_{QQ'}}$. For the present leading-order pQCD calculation, the scale uncertainty is large, e.g., for the Ξ_{cc} production via the $g + c$ channel, the scale uncertainty is $\pm 22\%$ for $\mu_R \in [M_{\Xi_{cc}}, \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}]$, where $\sqrt{s}$ stands for the collision energy of the incident partons. However, by adopting an improved scale analysis suggested in Ref.[34], which is based on the principle of maximum conformality (PMC) [35–38], we shall obtain a smaller and hence a more reliable scale uncertainty $\pm 6\%$ for $\mu_R \in [M_{\Xi_{cc}}, \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}]$.

- In the above discussions, we have not distinguished the light components in the baryon. More subtly, for Ξ_{cc} production, after forming a (cc)-diquark, it will grab a light anti-quark (with soft gluons when necessary) from the hadron to form the final colorless doubly heavy baryon. According to the string model [39], the possibility for grabbing the light (sea) quark from the hadron is $d : u : s \simeq 1 : 1 : 0.3$. If a (cc)-diquark is produced, it will fragment into Ξ_{cc}^+ with 43% probability, Ξ_{cc}^{++} with 43% probability and Ω_{cc}^+ with 14% probability. Then, if enough Ξ_{cc} events can be accumulated at the After@LHC, one may have chances to study the $\Xi_{cc}^{+,++}$ or Ω_{cc}^+ separately from their decay products.

- As has been estimated, there are two dominant Ξ_{cc}^+ decay channels, $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \; K^- \; \pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to p \; D^+ \; K^-$. Setting $\Gamma_{1,2}$ to be the decay widths of these two channels, we have [1, 2]: $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 0.36 \pm 0.21$. As a rough estimation, if taking $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 0.36$ and the integrated luminosity to be 2 fb^{-1}, we shall have 1.0×10^6 Ξ_{cc}^+ events from the first decay channel and 2.6×10^6 Ξ_{cc}^+ events from the second decay channel at the After@LHC.

IV. SUMMARY

We have presented a detailed discussion on the doubly heavy baryon production at the suggested fixed target experiment After@LHC. For a fixed target experiment, more smaller p_t events can be measured in comparison to the hadronic experiments as LHC and Tevatron. Since the baryon p_t distributions drops down logarithmically in large p_t region, this indicates that more baryon events can be produced at the After@LHC. If the integrated luminosity at the After@LHC reaches up to 2 fb^{-1} per year, sizable Ξ_{cc} and Ξ_{bc} events can be generated, i.e., about 8.3×10^6/year Ξ_{cc} and 1.8×10^4/year Ξ_{bc} maybe observed for a small p_t cut, $p_t > 0.2$ GeV. If its luminosity can be improved further, we shall have much more baryon events available. Moreover, because of a larger collision energy than that of SELEX, more small x events and more small p_t events can be generated at the After@LHC. Thus, the After@LHC experiment shall provide a good platform to study the baryon properties and may greatly help to clarify the present SELEX puzzle.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Stanley J. Brodsky and Jean-Philippe Lansberg for helpful suggestions and discussions. This work was supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of China under

Grant No.11275280 and No.11347024, by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University under Grant No.NCET-10-0882, and by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No.CQDXWL-2012-Z002.

[1] M. Mattson *et al.*, SELEX Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 112001 (2002).
[2] A. Ocherashvili *et al.*, SELEX Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **628**, 18 (2005).
[3] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. **1312**, 090 (2013).
[4] M. Moinester, Z. Phys. A **355**, 349(1996)
[5] V.V. Kiselev and A. Likhoded, Phys. Usp. **45**, 455 (2002).
[6] S.P. Baranov, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 3228 (1996).
[7] A.V. Berezhnoy, V.V. Kiselev, A.K. Likhoded, and A.I. Onishchenko, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4385 (1998).
[8] A.V. Berezhnoy, V.V. Kiselev, and A.K. Likhoded, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **59**, 870 (1996).
[9] A.V. Berezhnoy, V.V. Kiselev, and A.K. Likhoded, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **59**, 909 (1996).
[10] D.A. Gunter and V.A. Saleev, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 034006 (2001).
[11] C.H. Chang, C.F. Qiao, J.X. Wang, and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094022 (2006).
[12] C.H. Chang, J.P. Ma, C.F. Qiao, and X.G. Wu, J. Phys. G **34**, 845 (2007).
[13] J.W. Zhang, X.G. Wu, T. Zhong, Y. Yu, and Z.Y. Fang, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 034026 (2011).
[14] C.H. Chang, J.X. Wang, and X.G. Wu, Comput. Phys. Commun. **177**, 467 (2007).
[15] C.H. Chang, J.X. Wang, and X.G. Wu, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181**, 1144, (2010).
[16] X.Y. Wang and X.G. Wu, Comput. Phys. Commun. **184**, 1070 (2013).
[17] A. Bharucha *et al.*, LHCb Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2373 (2013).
[18] J. Jiang, X.G. Wu, Q.L. Liao, X.C. Zheng, and Z.Y. Fang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 054021 (2013).
[19] J. Jiang, X.G. Wu, S.M. Wang, J.W. Zhang, and Z.Y. Fang, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 054027 (2013).
[20] J.P. Ma and Z.G. Si, Phys. Lett. B **568**, 135 (2003).
[21] Y. Jin, S.Y. Li, Z.G. Si, Z.J. Yang, and T. Yao, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 468 (2013).
[22] S.Y. Li, Z.G. Si, and Z.J. Yang, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 284 (2007).
[23] J.P. Lansberg, *et al.*, arXiv:1207.3507.
[24] J.P. Lansberg, *et al.*, arXiv:1308.5806.
[25] S.J. Brodsky, F. Fleuret, C. Hadjidakis, and J.P. Lansberg, Phys. Rept. **522**, 239 (2013).
[26] J.P. Lansberg, *et al.*, arXiv:1212.3450.
[27] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995); **55**, 5853 (E) (1997).
[28] J. Collins, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D**18**, 242 (1978).
[29] C.H. Chang, C.F. Qiao, J.X. Wang and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 114009 (2005).
[30] M.A.G. Aivazis, F.I. Olness and W.K. Tung, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3085 (1994).
[31] M.A.G. Aivazis, J.C. Collins, F.I. Olness and W.K. Tung, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3102 (1994).
[32] F.I. Olness, R.J. Scalise and W.K. Tung, Phy. Rev. D **59**, 014506 (1998).
[33] H.L. Lai, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, Z. Li, P.M. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin and C.P. Yuan, Phys.Rev. D **82**, 074024 (2010).
[34] S.Q. Wang, X.G. Wu, X.C. Zheng, J.M. Shen, and Q.L. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **876**, 731 (2013).
[35] S.J. Brodsky and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 042002 (2012).
[36] S.J. Brodsky and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 034038 (2012).
[37] M. Mojaza, S.J. Brodsky, and X.G. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 192001 (2013).
[38] X.G. Wu, S.J. Brodsky, and M. Mojaza, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **72**, 44 (2013).
[39] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, J. High Energy Phys. **05**, 026 (2006).